

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 05636 050554Z

14

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 NEA-10 ACDA-05 ERDA-05 AF-06

ARA-06 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NASA-01 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01

OES-03 SS-15 USIA-06 SAJ-01 ISO-00 NRC-05 /120 W

----- 004688

R 050249Z NOV 75

FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3624

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

USMISSION GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 5636

FOR ACDA AND IO

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, CCD, UR, US, UN

SUBJECT: UNGA DISARMAMENT: CCD COCHAIRMAN'S MEETING, NOV 4

REFS: (A) USUN 5510; (B) USUN 5528

SUMMARY: CCD COCHAIRMAN MARTIN (US) AND ROSHCIN (USSR)  
MET NOV 4 FOR GENERAL REVIEW OF DISARMAMENT ITEMS BEFORE  
UNGA THIS FALL. ROSHCIN PROVIDED MARTIN WITH A  
"PRELIMINARY DRAFT" OF A RESOLUTION ON ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 05636 050554Z

MODIFICATION (REPORTED SEPTTEL), INQUIRED ABOUT THE STATUS

OF BILATERAL CONSIDERATION OF A JOINT CCD INITIATIVE ON CHEMICAL WEAPONS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO DRAFT PAKISTANI RESOLUTION ON SECURITY ASSURANCES AND TO DRAFT RESOLUTION ON SOUTH PACIFIC NWFZ, AND SAID SOVIETS WERE AGAINST A TECHNICAL STUDY OF MILITARY EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS. END SUMMARY.

1. CHEMICAL WEAPONS (CW). ROSHCIN ASKED WHETHER US AND USSR WOULD BE ABLE TO HOLD FURTHER DISCUSSIONS IN THE NEAR FUTURE ON A POSSIBLE JOINT CW INITIATIVE AT THE CCD, AND WHETHER THE TWO SIDES WOULD BE ABLE TO REPORT ON NEW DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE CURRENT UNGA SESSION. MARTIN SAID HE WOULD REFER THIS INQUIRY TO WASHINGTON AND HOPED FOR EARLY RESPONSE.

2. MILITARY EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS. MARTIN RECALLED U.S. PROPOSAL AT CCD FOR STUDY OF ISSUES INVOLVED IN DEFINITION AND COMPARATIVE MEASUREMENT OF MILITARY EXPENDITURES, SAYING WE HOPED UNGA WOULD BE ABLE TO DEVELOP GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION REFERRING QUESTION TO CCD. HE ASKED IF SOVIETS HAD NOW DEVELOPED VIEWS ON THE PROPOSAL. ROSHCIN REPLIED BLUNTLY THAT SOVIETS WERE "AGAINST" ADDITIONAL STUDY OF MILITARY BUDGET QUESTIONS AND WERE "NOT IN FAVOR" OF ENGAGING CCD OR UNGA IN SUCH STUDY. MARTIN NOTED THAT U.S. WAS DISCUSSING IDEA WITH OTHER INTERESTED DELEGATIONS AND UNDERSTOOD SWEDEN WAS CONSIDERING INTRODUCTION OF A RESOLUTION CALLING FOR A STUDY. HE SAID U.S. INTENDED TO CONTINUE CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHERS ON THE SUBJECT.

3. PAKISTANI SECURITY ASSURANCES RESOLUTION (REF A). ROSHCIN SAID SOVIETS CONSIDERED DRAFT RESOLUTION BEING CIRCULATED BY PAKISTANIS TO BE "INCOMPATIBLE" WITH SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION 255 (1968) AND THE ASSOCIATED POSITIVE GUARANTEES EXTENDED BY THE U.S., UK, AND USSR TO NPT PARTIES. MARTIN NOTED THAT THE PAKISTANI RESOLUTION'S CALL FOR NON-USE ASSURANCES FROM NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES WOULD NOT BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE U.S.

4. SOUTH PACIFIC NWFZ. ROSHCIN SAID SPNWFZ IDEA APPEARED "UNACCEPTABLE" TO SOVIETS BECAUSE IT SEEMED TO ENVISAGE EXTENSION TO THE HIGH SEAS. HE NOTED THAT NEW ZEALAND STATEMENT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 05636 050554Z

IN INTRODUCING DRAFT RESOLUTION HAD NOT CLARIFIED THIS QUESTION ADEQUATELY, ADDING THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD ABSTAIN UNLESS IT WAS MADE CLEAR THAT THE HIGH SEAS WOULD NOT BE COVERED BY THE PROPOSED ZONE. MARTIN SAID THAT U.S. WOULD ALSO ABSTAIN ON RESOLUTION AS CURRENTLY DRAFTED, ALTHOUGH WE COULD SUPPORT AMENDED RESOLUTION THAT WOULD NOT IMPLY COMMITMENT TO SUPPORT SPECIFIC ZONE ARRANGEMENTS THAT MIGHT BE NEGOTIATED.

5. SWEDISH PROPOSAL ON ROLE OF THE UN IN DISARMAMENT (SEPTTEL).  
ALTHOUGH ROSHCIN HAD NOT SEEN TEXT OF DRAFT SWEDISH RESOLUTION  
ON THIS SUBJECT, HE SAID IT WAS VERY DOUBTFUL THAT SOVIETS  
COULD SUPPORT IDEA OF AD HOC COMMITTEE TO REVIEW UN DISARMAMENT  
ACTIVITIES. MARTIN SAID THAT U.S. WAS STUDYING PROPOSAL,  
BUT NOTED THAT SUCH A REVIEW COULD HAVE HARMFUL IMPLICATIONS  
FOR CCD.

6. FINNISH REQUEST FOR OBSERVER STATUS AT CCD (REF B).  
ROSHCHIN EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT ADMITTING FINLAND TO  
CCD AS AN OBSERVER WOULD RESULT IMMEDIATELY IN SIMILAR  
REQUESTS BY OTHERS, CHANGING THE WHOLE STATUS OF THE CCD.  
HE EXPRESSED SURPRISE THAT FINLAND, WITH FINN SERVING  
AS SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL TO  
THE CCD, WOULD MAKE SUCH A REQUEST. MARTIN SAID HE HAD  
NOT RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS ON FINNISH REQUEST BUT AGREED  
THAT OPENING DOOR TO FINNS WOULD MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE TO  
PREVENT ADMISSION OF OTHER OBSERVERS AND THAT CHARACTER OF  
CCD WOULD THUS CHANGE SUBSTANTIALY. MARTIN AND ROSHCIN AGREED  
TO COORDINATE THEIR RESPONSE TO THE FINNS.

7. SOVIET INITIATIVE ON NEW WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.  
ROSHCHIN MADE STRONG PITCH FOR U.S. SUPPORT FOR THIS  
INITIATIVE, ASSERTING HE WAS AWAITING WORD THAT U.S.  
WOULD CO-SPONSOR. ACCORDING TO ROSHCIN, THE UNGA SHOULD  
AGREE IN PRINCIPLE ON THE NEED TO PROHIBIT DEVELOPMENT OF  
NEW WEAPONS AND SYSTEMS, WITH THE CCD SUBSEQUENTLY CONSI-  
DERING THE SPECIFIC WEAPONS AND SYSTEMS INVOLVED AND SEEKING  
AN APPROPRIATE FORMULATION FOR A CONVENTION. MARTIN, NOTING THAT  
THE SOVIET STATEMENT ON THE SUBJECT HAD ONLY CITED EXAMPLES  
DRAWN FROM UNOFFICIAL, OPEN WESTERN SOURCES, STRESSED IMPORTANCE  
OF HAVING CLEAR IDEA OF OBJECTS TO BE PROHIBITED BEFORE  
AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE COULD BE ACHIEVED. HE ADDED THAT THIS  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USUN N 05636 050554Z

WAS AN IMPORTANT SUBJECT AND EXPRESSED THE HOPE THAT THE  
SOVIETS WOULD FURTHER ELUCIDATE THEIR VIEWS ON THE CONTENT  
OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT.

8. SOVIET CTB PROPOSAL. ROSHCIN MENTIONED THE SOVIET  
DRAFT TREATY AND RESOLUTION ON CTB BUT DID NOT PRESS FOR  
U.S. SUPPORT. MARTIN RECALLED THAT U.S. STATEMENT IN FIRST  
COMMITTEE HAD SET OUT U.S. VIEWS ON THE SOVIETS'  
PROPOSED TREATY.  
MOYNIHAN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENT DRAFT, CHEMICAL WARFARE, DISARMAMENT, ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENTS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, UNGA RESOLUTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 05 NOV 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975USUNN05636  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750383-0877  
**From:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751174/aaaacols.tel  
**Line Count:** 164  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 29 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <29 APR 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <29 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** UNGA DISARMAMENT: CCD COCHAIRMAN'S MEETING, NOV 4  
**TAGS:** PARM, UR, US, CCD, UN  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006